

A GENERAL MAXIMAL OPERATOR AND THE A_p -CONDITION

BY

M. A. LECKBAND AND C. J. NEUGEBAUER

ABSTRACT. A rearrangement inequality for a general maximal operator $Mf(x) = \sup_{x \in Q} \int_Q \phi_Q dv$ is established. This is then applied to the Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator with weights.

1. Let μ, ν be two measures on \mathbf{R}^n and let there be given for each cube $Q \subset \mathbf{R}^n$ a function ϕ_Q supported in Q . We consider the maximal operator $Mf(x) = \sup \int f \phi_Q dv$, where the sup is extended over all cubes centered at x and obtain (Theorem 1) the rearrangement inequality $(Mf)_\mu^*(\xi) \leq A \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) f_\nu^*(t\xi) dt$. Here g_λ^* denotes the non-increasing rearrangement of g with respect to the measure λ , and Φ is a nonincreasing function given in terms of μ, ν, ϕ_Q . From this one easily sees that $\|Mf\|_{p,\mu} \leq A \|f\|_{p,\nu} \int_0^\infty \Phi(t)/t^{1/p} dt$, and thus the finiteness of this integral, i.e., $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$, gives a weighted norm inequality. This is how the A_p -condition comes into play. In fact, if we take $(u, v) \in A_p$, i.e., $\int_Q u \cdot (\int_Q v^{1-p'})^{p-1} \leq C |Q|^p$ [5], $d\mu = u dx$, $dv = v dx$, $\phi_Q(x) = \chi_Q(x)/|Q| v(x)$, then the above $Mf(x)$ is the usual Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Let $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$ be the associated Φ . We will show (Theorem 3) that, in the case $u = v$, $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$ if and only if $u \in A_p$, and in the double weight situation (Theorem 4), $\Phi \in L(p', \infty)$ if and only if there is (\bar{u}, \bar{v}) for which $\Phi_{\bar{u}, \bar{v}} \sim \Phi$ and $\|Mf\|_{p,\bar{u}} \leq A \|f\|_{p,\bar{v}}$.

Finally, we will study the problem when $(u, v) \in A_p$ implies $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,v}$, and the extrapolation problem, i.e., when does $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,v}$ imply the existence of $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $\|Mf\|_{p-\varepsilon,u} \leq B \|f\|_{p-\varepsilon,v}$? It turns out that the behavior of the iterated maximal operator M_j is crucial here. We will see (Theorem 6) that extrapolation is possible provided the norm of M_j as an operator from $L_v^p \rightarrow L_u^p$ grows at most geometrically, a fact which is obvious for $u = v$. All this gives a different, though admittedly long, proof of $u \in A_p$, implies $u \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$, and shows that it is the iterated maximal operator that controls this implication.

2. For $\nu \geq 0$ a Borel measure on \mathbf{R}^n and $f: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ a Borel measurable function, let $\lambda_{f,\nu}(y) = \nu\{x: |f(x)| > y\}$, and $f_\nu^*(t) = \inf\{y: \lambda_{f,\nu}(y) \leq t\}$, the rearrangement of f with respect to ν . With each $Q \in \{Q\}$, the collection of cubes in \mathbf{R}^n , let there be associated a Borel measurable function $\phi_Q: \mathbf{R}^n \rightarrow [0, \infty)$, $\text{supp } \phi_Q \subset Q$. We consider the general maximal operator.

$$Mf(x) = \sup \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi_Q f dv$$

Received by the editors August 4, 1981 and, in revised form, February 17, 1982.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 42A96; Secondary 28A15.

©1983 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/82/0000-0889/\$06.00

where the sup is extended over all Q with center x . If $\mu \geq 0$ is another Borel measure on \mathbf{R}^n , finite on compact sets, define

$$\Phi(t) = \sup_Q \{ \mu(Q) \phi_{Q,v}^*(\mu(Q)t) \}.$$

THEOREM 1.

$$(Mf)_\mu^*(\xi) \leq A \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) f_v^*(t\xi) dt,$$

where A depends only upon the dimension n .

PROOF. We let $M_r f(x) = \sup \int \phi_Q f d\nu$, where now the sup is extended over all Q with center x and $\text{diam } Q \leq r$. It suffices to prove the theorem for $M_r f$ and then let $r \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $E_\tau = \{x: M_r f(x) > \tau\}$ and $E_{\tau,R} = E_\tau \cap \{|x| \leq R\}$. For $x \in E_{\tau,R}$, we have a cube Q_x , center x , $\text{diam } Q_x \leq r$ such that $\tau \leq \int \phi_{Q_x} f d\nu$. We can now apply the Besicovitch covering theorem [1] and select $\{Q_j\} \subset \{Q_x: x \in E_{\tau,R}\}$ such that $E_{\tau,R} \subset \bigcup Q_j$ and $\sum \chi_{Q_j}(t) \leq C$, where C depends only upon n . Then $\mu(Q_j)\tau \leq \int \mu(Q_j)\phi_{Q_j} f d\nu$. We set $H_N = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu(Q_j)$, $\Phi_N(y) = \sum_{j=1}^N \mu(Q_j)\phi_{Q_j}(y)$. Then

$$H_N \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \Phi_N(y) f(y) d\nu \leq \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\infty \Phi_{N,v}^*(t) f_v^*(t) dt.$$

We claim now that $\Phi_{N,v}^*(\xi) \leq c\Phi(\xi/H_N)$, where c is the Besicovitch constant. If $\Phi_N(y) > \alpha$, $\alpha > 0$, then $y \in \bigcup_{j=1}^N Q_j$. Thus the number of Q_j 's containing y is at most c , and hence for some j , $\mu(Q_j)\phi_{Q_j}(y) > \alpha/c$. Thus

$$\{y: \Phi_N(y) > \alpha\} \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N \{y: \mu(Q_j)\phi_{Q_j}(y) > \alpha/c\}.$$

We now show that for $\beta > 0$,

$$\nu\{y: \mu(Q)\phi_Q(y) > \beta\} \leq \mu(Q) |\{t: \Phi(t) > \beta\}|.$$

To prove this we may assume that $\mu(Q) > 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\{t: \Phi(t) > \beta\}| &\geq |\{t: \mu(Q)\phi_{Q,v}^*(\mu(Q)t) > \beta\}| \\ &= \frac{1}{\mu(Q)} \nu\{y: \mu(Q)\phi_Q(y) > \beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

All this gives us $\nu\{y: \Phi_N(y) > \alpha\} \leq H_N |\{t: \Phi(t) > \alpha/c\}|$. Consequently, $\Phi_{N,v}^*(\xi) \leq \inf\{\alpha: |\{t: \Phi(t) > \alpha/c\}| \leq \xi/H_N\} = c\Phi(\xi/H_N)$.

Thus

$$\tau \leq \frac{c}{H_N} \int_0^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{t}{H_N}\right) f_v^*(t) dt \leq \frac{c}{H_N} \int_0^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{t}{H}\right) f_v^*(t) dt,$$

since $H_N \leq H$, and $H = \sum \mu(Q_j) < \infty$. Since $H_N \uparrow H$, we get

$$\tau \leq \frac{c}{H} \int_0^\infty \Phi\left(\frac{t}{H}\right) f_v^*(t) dt = c \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) f_v^*(tH) dt,$$

and since $\mu(E_{\tau,R}) \leq H$, we see that $\tau \leq c \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) f_\nu^*(t\mu(E_{\tau,R})) dt$. Finally, let $\tau_0 = (M_\tau f)_\mu^*(\xi) = \inf\{\tau: \mu(E_\tau) \leq \xi\}$. Then $0 < \tau < \tau_0$ implies that $\mu(E_\tau) > \xi$, and hence for some R , $\mu(E_{\tau,R}) > \xi$. From this we get $\tau \leq c \int_0^\infty \Phi(t) f_\nu^*(t\xi) dt$, and letting $\tau \uparrow \tau_0$, completes the proof.

REMARK. Theorem 1 contains many of the known maximal inequalities.

(i) The choice $\phi_Q(y) = \chi_Q(y)/|Q|$, $\mu = \nu =$ Lebesgue measure, gives the ordinary Hardy-Littlewood maximal function. In this case $\Phi(t) = \chi_{[0,1]}(t)$ and so $(Mf)^*(\xi) \leq A \int_0^1 f^*(t\xi) dt$.

(ii) Let Q_0 be the unit cube centered at the origin, and let $Q(x, h)$ be the cube with center x , side-length h . Let $\text{supp } \phi \subset Q_0$, and set $\phi_Q(y) = \phi((x-y)/h)/h^n$, $Q = Q(x, h)$. If $\mu = \nu =$ Lebesgue measure, we consider the maximal "approximate identity" operator $Mf(x) = \sup_{h>0} (1/h^n) \int \phi((x-y)/h) f(y) dy$ [4]. In this case $\lambda_{\phi_Q}(y) = |Q| |\{x: \phi_Q(x) > y\}|$, and hence $\phi_Q^*(t) = \phi^*(t/|Q|)/|Q|$. Thus $\Phi(t) = \phi^*(t)$, and we get $(Mf)^*(\xi) \leq A \int_0^1 \phi^*(t) f^*(t\xi) dt$. This maximal inequality is due to Jurkat and Troutman [4] and our proof of Theorem 1 is a refinement of theirs.

3. Minkowski's integral inequality and Theorem 1 show that

$$(*) \quad \|Mf\|_{p,\mu} \leq A \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{\Phi(t)}{t^{1/p}} dt \right) \|f\|_{p,\nu},$$

and hence $\int_0^\infty \Phi(t)/t^{1/p} dt < \infty$ implies that Mf is strong (p, p) . In the setting of Lorentz spaces $L(p, q)$ [2], this says that $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$, $1/p + 1/p' = 1$, implies strong (p, p) for Mf . A major part of this paper is devoted to the converse, i.e., when does strong (p, p) for Mf imply $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$? Simple examples show that this need not be the case in general. For, if we consider the "approximate identity" example of the previous section and assume that ϕ is radially nonincreasing, then $Mf(x) \leq \|\phi\|_1 M_0 f(x)$, where M_0 is the ordinary Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator. Simply take $\phi \in L^1$, $\phi \notin L(p', 1)$ to obtain an example.

We let now (u, v) be a pair of nonnegative functions (weights), i.e., $u \in L_{\text{loc}}^1$ and $0 < v < \infty$, a.e. x . This last restriction is made in order to avoid the special cases arising from division by zero, etc. Then

$$\frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q f dx = \frac{1}{|Q|} \int f \cdot \frac{\chi_Q}{v} v dx = \int f \cdot \phi_Q d\nu,$$

where $\phi_Q(x) = \chi_Q(x)/|Q| v(x)$, and $d\nu = v dx$. If we let $d\mu = u dx$ and

$$\Phi(t) \equiv \Phi_{u,v}(t) = \sup\{\mu(Q) \phi_{Q,v}^*(\mu(Q)t)\},$$

then $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$ gives the double weight strong (p, p) for the ordinary Hardy-Littlewood maximal operator, which from now on we will denote by Mf .

4. The single weight problem, i.e., $u = v$, and the double weight problem are different and the Φ reflects this.

THEOREM 2. Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,v}$. Then $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$ satisfies (i) $\Phi(t) = O(t^{-1/p'})$, as $t \rightarrow 0$ or ∞ , (ii) $\Phi(t) = O(t^a)$ for $0 > a > -1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

PROOF. It is known that $(u, v) \in A_p$, i.e., $\int_Q u \cdot (\int_Q v^{1-p'})^{p-1} \leq c |Q|^p$ [5]. We note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\chi_Q}{v} \right)_v^* (\mu(Q)t) &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\mu(Q)t} \int_0^{\mu(Q)t} \left(\frac{\chi_Q}{v} \right)_v^{*p'}(u) du \right]^{1/p'} \\ &\leq \left[\frac{1}{\mu(Q)t} \int_Q \left(\frac{1}{v} \right)^{p'} v dx \right]^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we get

$$\frac{\mu(Q)}{|Q|} \left(\frac{\chi_Q}{v} \right)_v^* (\mu(Q)t) \leq \frac{1}{t^{1/p'}} \frac{\mu(Q)^{1/p}}{|Q|} \cdot \left(\int_Q v^{1-p'} \right)^{(p-1)/p} \leq \frac{c}{t^{1/p'}},$$

and this proves (i).

For (ii) simply note that $\|Mf\|_{q,u} \leq A_q \|f\|_{q,v}$, $p \leq q$, so that by (i), $\Phi(t) = O(t^{-1/q'})$.

REMARK. (i) The above result shows that the behavior of Φ about 0 is much more critical than that about ∞ . (ii) We have shown that $(u, v) \in A_p$ implies that $\Phi \in L(p', \infty)$.

THEOREM 3. $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,u}$ for some $p > 1$ if and only if $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$, i.e., $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$ and $u \in A_p$ are equivalent.

PROOF. Note that now $\phi_Q(x) = \chi_Q(x)/|Q| u(x)$, so that $\phi_{Q,\mu}^*(\mu(Q)t)$ is zero for $t > 1$, and hence $\Phi(t) = 0$, $t > 1$. Thus we have to show that $\int_0^1 \Phi(t)/t^{1/p} dt < \infty$. Since $u \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ [5], we get from Theorem 2 that $\Phi \in L((p-\varepsilon)', \infty)$ from which $\Phi(t)/t^{1/p} \leq c/t^{1/(p-\varepsilon)'+1/p}$.

REMARK. Later we will show that $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$ implies $\Phi \in L((p-\varepsilon)', 1)$ in the single weight case without recourse to $u \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$.

5. From now on we assume that $n = 1$, and we will denote by I, J intervals in \mathbf{R} . In this section we will present a partial converse of Theorem 2, i.e., we ask whether $\Phi \in L(p', \infty)$ implies some norm inequality for Mf .

For Φ_1, Φ_2 two nonincreasing functions on $(0, \infty)$ we write $\Phi_1 \sim \Phi_2$ provided there are constants c_i, c'_i , $i = 1, 2$, such that $c_1 \Phi_1(c'_1 t) \leq \Phi_2(t) \leq c_2 \Phi_1(c'_2 t)$, $0 < t \leq 1$.

THEOREM 4. Let $\Phi_0 \geq 0$ be nonincreasing on $(0, \infty)$ such that $t\Phi_0(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$. Then $\Phi_0 \in L(p', \infty)$ on $[0, 1]$ if and only if there exists a pair of weights (u, v) such that $\Phi_{u,v} \sim \Phi_0$ and $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,v}$.

REMARK. The condition $t\Phi_0(t) \downarrow 0$ as $t \downarrow 0$ can always be achieved by replacing Φ_0 by $\bar{\Phi}(t) = (1/t) \int_0^t \Phi_0 \geq \Phi_0(t)$ and $\bar{\Phi}$ is in the same $(p > 1)$ integrability class as Φ_0 .

PROOF. By Theorem 2 we only need to show that $\Phi_0 \in L(p', \infty)$ implies the existence of (u, v) . We may assume that $\Phi_0(1) = 1$ and $\Phi_0(t) \uparrow \infty$ as $t \downarrow 0$ (otherwise let $u = v = 1$). Let $\alpha_N = \Phi_0(2^{-N})$. Then $\alpha_N \leq A 2^{N/p'}$, and since $2^{-N} \alpha_N \rightarrow 0$, we may assume that $\alpha_N \cdot 2^{-N} \leq \frac{1}{4}$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$. Also note that $2^{-k} \alpha_{k+l} \leq \alpha_l$.

Let $J_N = [2^{N^2}, 2^{N^2} + \alpha_N 2^{-N}]$, $N = 1, 2, \dots$, $J_0 = \mathbf{R} \setminus \bigcup_{N=1}^{\infty} J_N$, $K_N = [2^{N^2} + \frac{3}{4}, 2^{N^2} + 1]$. Define $v_N(t) = \alpha_N^{-1}$, $t \in J_N$, and $v_N(t) = 0$, $t \notin J_N$. Let $u_N(t) = 4$,

$t \in K_N$, and $u_N(t) = 0$, $t \notin K_N$. The desired pair of weights will be $v(t) = \sum v_N(t) + 4\chi_{J_0}(t)$, $u(t) = \sum u_N(t)$. We note that $\nu(J_N) = 2^{-N}$, from which $(\chi_{J_N})_\nu^*(t) = \chi_{[0, 2^{-N}]}(t)$. Also $\mu(K_N) = 1$.

We wish to estimate

$$\Phi(t) \equiv \Phi_{u,v}(t) = \sup_I \frac{\mu(I)}{|I|} \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (\mu(I)t),$$

and show that $\Phi \sim \Phi_0$. This will follow if for some constants $c', c'', c'\alpha_l \leq \Phi(2^{-l}) \leq c''\alpha_l$, $l = 1, 2, \dots$. Our first observation is that

$$\left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (2^{-l}) \leq c\alpha_l, \quad l = 1, 2, \dots$$

To see this, note that if $I \cap J_N = \emptyset$ for every N , then $(\chi_I/v)_\nu^*(t) \leq \frac{1}{4} \leq \alpha_l$. Otherwise, let J_N, J_{N+1}, \dots, J_M be all the J_i 's with $J_i \cap I \neq \emptyset$. If $I_0 = [0, 2^{-M}]$, and for $j \geq 1$, $I_j = [2^{-M} + 2^{-M+1} + \dots + 2^{-M+j-1}, 2^{-M} + 2^{-M+1} + \dots + 2^{-M+j}]$, then $(\chi_I/v)_\nu^*(t) \leq \alpha_{M-j}$, $t \in I_j$. Thus, if $2^{-l} \in I_j$, $M-j \leq l+1$, and since $2^{-N}\alpha_N \downarrow 0$, $2\alpha_l \geq \alpha_{l+1} \geq \alpha_{M-j}$.

It is clear that $\mu(I) \leq 4|I|$, and if $\mu(I) > 0$ and $I \cap J_N \neq \emptyset$ for some N , then $|I| \geq \frac{3}{4} - \alpha_N/2^N \geq \frac{1}{2}$. From this we see that, if $\mu(I) \geq 1$, then

$$\frac{\mu(I)}{|I|} \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (\mu(I)2^{-l}) \leq c \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (2^{-l}) \leq c\alpha_l,$$

and if $1/2^{k+1} \leq \mu(I) \leq 1/2^k$, and $I \cap J_N \neq \emptyset$ for some N , then

$$\frac{\mu(I)}{|I|} \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (\mu(I)2^{-l}) \leq c2^{-k} \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (2^{-k-l-1}) \leq c2^{-k}\alpha_{k+l+1} \leq c\alpha_l.$$

This shows that $\Phi(2^{-l}) \leq c\alpha_l$, and since for $I = [2^{l^2}, 2^{l^2} + 1]$,

$$\frac{\mu(I)}{|I|} \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)_\nu^* (\mu(I)2^{-l}) = \alpha_l, \quad \alpha_l \leq \Phi(2^{-l}).$$

We proceed now with the proof of $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A\|f\|_{p,v}$. Let $f \geq 0$, $f_N = f\chi_{J_N}$, $N = 0, 1, \dots$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int (Mf)^p u \, dx &= \int [M(f_0 + \sum f_N)]^p u \, dx \\ &\leq 2^{p-1} \int (Mf_0)^p u \, dx + 2^{p-1} \int [M(\sum f_N)]^p u \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\int (Mf_0)^p u \, dx \leq c \int (Mf_0)^p \, dx \leq c\|f_0\|_p^p \leq c\|f\|_{p,v}^p$.

We next claim that $\int (Mf_N)^p u_N \, dx \leq A \int f_N^p v_N \, dx$. For $x \in K_N = \text{supp } u_N$ we have

$$(Mf_N)^p(x) \leq \left(2 \int_{2^{N^2}}^{2^{N^2} + \alpha_N 2^{-N}} f_N \, dx \right)^p \leq 2^p (\alpha_N 2^{-N})^{p/p'} \|f_N\|_{p'}^p.$$

Since $\alpha_N = O(2^{N/p'})$ we obtain $(\alpha_N 2^{-N})^{p/p'} \leq c\alpha_N^{-1}$ from which $(Mf_N)^p \leq c(1/\alpha_N) \|f_N\|_{p'}^p = c \int f_N^p v_N \, dx$.

We next observe that $\int M^p(\sum f_N)u \, dx = \sum_k \int M^p(\sum f_N)u_k \, dx$, and thus, using $(\sum a_j)^p \leq 2^{j(p-1)}a_j^p$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int M^p(\sum f_N)u_k \, dx &\leq 2^{p-1} \sum_{N \geq k} 2^{(N-k)(p-1)} \int M^p f_N u_k \, dx \\ &\quad + 2^{p-1} \sum_{N < k} 2^{(k-N)(p-1)} \int M^p f_N u_k \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

For $k < N$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int M^p f_N u_k \, dx &\leq c \int \left(\frac{1}{2^{N^2 - k^2}} \int_{J_N} f_N \right)^p u_k(x) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{c}{2^{pN^2}} \left(\int_{J_N} f_N \right)^p \int u_k \, dx \leq \frac{c}{2^{pN^2}} \int M^p f_N u_N \, dx, \end{aligned}$$

since $\int_{\mathbf{R}} u_k \, dx = \int_{\mathbf{R}} u_N \, dx$.

Similarly, if $k > N$, $\int M^p f_N u_k \, dx \leq (c/2^{pk^2}) \int M^p f_N u_N \, dx$, and thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int M^p(\sum f_N)u_k \, dx &\leq 2^{p-1} \int M^p f_k \cdot u_k \, dx \\ &\quad + c2^{p-1} \left\{ \sum_{N > k} \frac{2^{N(p-1)}}{2^{pN^2} \cdot 2^{k(p-1)}} \int M^p f_N \cdot u_N \, dx + \sum_{N < k} \frac{2^{k(p-1)}}{2^{pk^2} 2^{N(p-1)}} \int M^p f_N \cdot u_N \, dx \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

We sum this over k and interchange the order of summation to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int M^p f u \, dx &\leq 2^{(p-1)} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int M^p f_k u_k \, dx \\ &\quad + c2^{p-1} \left\{ \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{2^{N(p-1)}}{2^{pN^2} 2^{k(p-1)}} \int M^p f_N u_N \, dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=N}^{\infty} \frac{2^{k(p-1)}}{2^{pk^2} \cdot 2^{N(p-1)}} \int M^p f_N u_N \, dx \right\} \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int M^p f_k u_k \, dx \leq A \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int f_k^p v_k \, dx \leq A \int f^p v \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 4, $\Phi_0 \in L(p', \infty)$ if and only if there exists (u, v) for which $\mu\{x: Mf(x) > y\} \leq c \|f\|_{p, v}^p / y^p$ and $\Phi_{u, v} \sim \Phi_0$.

6. In order to make a more detailed study of $\Phi = \Phi_{u, v}$ for a pair of weights (u, v) we need some preliminary results. Again our analysis will take place on \mathbf{R} .

For $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$, and I, J compact intervals let

$$M_{j, I} f(x) = \sup_{x \in J \subset I} \frac{1}{|J|} \int_J M_{j-1, I} f(y) \, dy,$$

the j th iterated maximal function relative to I . Set $M_{j, I} f(x) = 0$, $x \notin I$. $M_{0, I} f(x) = f(x)\chi_I(x)$.

LEMMA 1. Let $f \geq 0$ be in $L^1(I)$, $\text{supp } f \subset I$, and let $g \geq 0$ be in $L^1(J)$, $\text{supp } g \subset J$, and assume $|I| = |J|$. Assume there are constants $C \geq 1$, $A \geq 1$ with

$$C |\{x \in I: f(x) > \alpha/A\}| \geq |\{y \in J: g(y) > \alpha\}|, \quad \alpha > 0.$$

Then there is a constant B so that

$$ACB^j \int_I M_{j,I} f \geq \int_J M_{j,J} g.$$

PROOF. We will first establish that for $\alpha > 0$

$$(1) \quad 2BC \left| \left\{ x \in I: M_{1,I} f(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2A} \right\} \right| \geq |\{y \in J: M_{1,J} g(y) > \alpha\}|,$$

where B is the Besicovitch covering constant. To do this, we may assume that $\alpha/2A \geq (1/|I|) \int_I f$, as otherwise $M_{1,I} f(x) > \alpha/2A$, $x \in I$, and (1) follows. We have

$$|\{y \in J: M_{1,J} g(y) > \alpha\}| \leq \frac{2B}{\alpha} \int_{\{g > \alpha/2\}} g = \frac{2B}{\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \lambda_g \left(\frac{\alpha}{2} \right) + \int_{\alpha/s}^{\infty} \lambda_g(\tau) d\tau \right],$$

where $\lambda_g(\tau) = |\{x \in J: g(x) > \tau\}|$. By hypothesis this is majorized by

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{2BC}{\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{2} \lambda_f \left(\frac{\alpha}{2A} \right) + \int_{\alpha/2}^{\infty} \lambda_f \left(\frac{\tau}{A} \right) d\tau \right] &= \frac{2BCA}{\alpha} \int_{\{f > \alpha/2A\}} f \\ &\leq 2BC \left| \left\{ x \in I: M_{1,I} f(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2A} \right\} \right| \end{aligned}$$

(see [8, p. 23]).

We now iterate (1) and get

$$(2B)^j C \left| \left\{ x \in I: M_{j,I} f(x) > \frac{\alpha}{2^j A} \right\} \right| \geq |\{y \in J: M_{j,J} g(y) > \alpha\}|.$$

Consequently, $\int_J M_{j,J} g = \int_0^\infty \lambda_{M_{j,J} g}(\alpha) d\alpha \leq (4B)^j CA \int_I M_{j,I} f$.

LEMMA 2. Let $(u, v) \in A_p$ for some $p > 1$, i.e., $\int_I u \cdot (\int_I v^{1-p'})^{p-1} \leq c |I|^p$, and form $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$. Assume that $|v^{-1}(t)| = 0$, $t > 0$. Then for each N there exists α_N and compact intervals $I_N \supset J_N$, $I_N \supset I'_N$, such that $J_N \cap I'_N = \emptyset$ and J_N, I'_N have an endpoint in common with I_N , and there is $S_N \subset J_N$ such that

- (i) $\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \mu(I'_N) \alpha_N / |I_N| \leq c 2^{N/p'}$,
- (ii) $\alpha_N \leq 1/v(x) \leq 5\alpha_N$, $x \in S_N$,
- (iii) $\mu(I'_N)/(5 \cdot 2^N) \leq \nu(S_N) \leq \mu(I'_N)/2^N$,
- (iv) $\alpha_N \leq (\chi_{S_N}/v)^*(\mu(I'_N)/(5 \cdot 2^N)) \leq 5\alpha_N$.

PROOF. Since

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) = \sup_I \frac{\mu(I)}{|I|} \left(\frac{\chi_I}{v} \right)^* \left(\frac{\mu(I)}{2^N} \right)$$

choose an interval $\bar{I}_N = [a_N, b_N]$ for which

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq 2 \frac{\mu(\bar{I}_N)}{|\bar{I}_N|} \left(\frac{\chi_{\bar{I}_N}}{v} \right)^* (\mu(\bar{I}_N) 2^{-N}).$$

We can pick points $a_N = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < x_3 < x_4 = b_N$ for which $\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} u \, dx = \mu(\bar{I}_N)/4$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. If $I_{N,i} = [x_{i-1}, x_i]$, we have, since

$$\left(\frac{\chi_{\bar{I}_N}}{v} \right)_\nu^* (\tau) \leq \sum_{i=1}^4 \left(\frac{\chi_{I_{N,i}}}{v} \right)_\nu^* \left(\frac{\tau}{4} \right),$$

an i such that for each j ,

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \frac{\mu(I_{N,j})}{|\bar{I}_N|} \left(\frac{\chi_{I_{N,i}}}{v} \right)_\nu^* \left(\frac{\mu(I_{N,j})}{2^N} \right).$$

Select now a j so that $I_{N,j} \cap I_{N,i} = \emptyset$, and write $J_N = I_{N,i}$, $J_N^* = I_{N,j}$ and let I_N be the smallest interval containing $J_N \cup J_N^*$. Then

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \frac{\mu(J_N^*)}{|I_N|} \left(\frac{\chi_{J_N}}{v} \right)_\nu^* \left(\frac{\mu(J_N^*)}{2^N} \right).$$

Let us denote by J' an interval in J_N^* which has that endpoint in common with J_N^* which J_N^* has in common with I_N , and set

$$\bar{\Phi}(2^{-N}) = \sup_{J'} \frac{\mu(J')}{|I_N|} \left(\frac{\chi_{J_N}}{v} \right)_\nu^* \left(\frac{\mu(J')}{2^N} \right).$$

Select now J' for which the sup is "attained", i.e.

$$\bar{\Phi}(2^{-N}) \leq 2 \frac{\mu(J')}{|I_N|} \left(\frac{\chi_{J_N}}{v} \right)_\nu^* \left(\frac{\mu(J')}{2^N} \right),$$

and let $\alpha_N = (\chi_{J_N}/v)_\nu^*(\mu(J')/2^N)$.

We define $S_N \in \{x \in J_N: 5\alpha_N \geq 1/v(x) \geq \alpha_N\}$, and $S'_N = \{x \in J_N: 1/v(x) \geq \alpha_N\}$. Since $|v^{-1}(t)| = 0$, $t > 0$, we see that $\nu(S'_N) = \nu\{x \in J_N: 1/v(x) > \alpha_N\} = \mu(J')/2^N$.

We claim now that $\nu(S_N) \geq \frac{1}{5}\nu(S'_N)$. To prove this we may assume that $\nu(S'_N) > \nu(S_N)$. If $\nu(S_N) < \frac{1}{5}\nu(S'_N)$, then

$$\frac{\mu(J')}{2^N} \geq \nu(S'_N \setminus S_N) > \frac{4}{5}\nu(S'_N) = \frac{4}{5} \frac{\mu(J')}{2^N}.$$

We can now choose an interval $J'' \subset J_N^*$ for which $\mu(J'')2^{-N} \leq \nu(S'_N \setminus S_N) \leq \mu(J'')2^{-N+1}$, and J'' is a candidate for the sup of $\bar{\Phi}$. Then $\mu(J'') > \frac{2}{5}\mu(J')$, and since $(\chi_{S'_N \setminus S_N}/v)_\nu^*(\mu(J'')/2^N) \geq 5\alpha_N$ we get

$$\bar{\Phi}(2^{-N}) \geq \frac{\mu(J'')}{|I_N|} \left(\frac{\chi_{S'_N \setminus S_N}}{v} \right)_\nu^* \left(\frac{\mu(J'')}{2^N} \right) > 2\alpha_N \frac{\mu(J')}{|I_N|} \geq \bar{\Phi}(2^{-N}).$$

Hence $\frac{1}{5}\mu(J')/2^N \leq \nu(S_N) \leq \mu(J')/2^N$.

If we let $I'_N = J'$, the properties (ii), (iii), and (iv) of the lemma follow, and the only thing that remains is $\mu(I'_N)\alpha_N/|I_N| \leq c2^{N/p'}$. This can be done by the same argument used in Theorem 2 for (i) since $(u, v) \in A_p$.

7. It is well known that $u \in A_p$, $p > 1$, implies that $u \in A_{p-\epsilon}$ for some $\epsilon > 0$, and that this is no longer the case for $(u, v) \in A_p$ [5, 6]. If we want $(u, v) \in A_{p-\epsilon}$, then

in terms of $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$ we need to prove that $\Phi \in L((p - \epsilon)', 1)$. Here is where the behavior of the iterated maximal operator $M_j f$ comes into the picture.

THEOREM 5. *Let $(u, v) \in A_p$, $1 < p$, and let $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$. Assume that $\|M_j f\|_{p,u} \leq A_j \|f\|_{p,v}$, $f \in L_v^p$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$. Then there are constants $c > 0$, $B > 0$ such that for every j, N ,*

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \frac{A_{j+1}}{B^j} \left(\frac{j!}{N^j} \right) 2^{N/p'}.$$

PROOF. We will first show that we may assume that $|v^{-1}(t)| = 0$, $t > 0$. Since our overall assumption on v is $0 < v < \infty$ a.e., we choose $v(x) \leq \bar{v}(x) \leq 2v(x)$ such that $|\bar{v}^{-1}(t)| = 0$, $t > 0$. Then $(u, \bar{v}) \in A_p$ and $\Phi_{u,v}(t) \leq 2\Phi_{u,\bar{v}}(t)$.

We now choose $I_N \supset J_N, I'_N$, $S_N \subset J_N$, and α_N as in Lemma 2. Then

$$\alpha_N \approx \left(\frac{\chi_{S_N}}{v} \right)_v^* \left(\frac{\mu(I'_N)}{5 \cdot 2^N} \right)$$

and

- (i) $\alpha_N \leq 1/v(x) \leq 5\alpha_N$, $x \in S_N$,
- (ii) $|S_N|/5\alpha_N \leq \nu(S_N) \leq |S_N|/\alpha_N$,
- (iii) $\mu(I'_N)/(5 \cdot 2^N) \leq \nu(S_N) \leq \mu(I'_N)/2^N$,
- (iv) $\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c\mu(I'_N)\alpha_N/|I_N| \leq c \cdot 2^{N/p'}$.

We begin with

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I'_N} \{M_{j+1}(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N})\}^p u \, dx &\geq \frac{\mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} \left\{ \int_{I_N} M_j(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N}) \right\}^p \\ &\geq \frac{\mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} \left\{ \int_{I_N} M_{j,I_N}(\alpha_N^{p'-1}\chi_{S_N}) \right\}^p. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 this is

$$\geq B^{jp} \frac{\alpha_N^{(p'-1)p}\mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} \left(\int_{|S_N|}^{|I_N|} M_{j,H_N}(\chi_{[0,|S_N|]}) \, dx \right)^p,$$

where $H_N = [0, |I_N|]$.

Since for $|S_N| \leq t_1 \leq |I_N|$, $M_{1,H_N}(\chi_{[0,|S_N|]})(t_1) \geq |S_N|/t_1$ we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|S_N|}^{|I_N|} M_{2,H_N}(\chi_{[0,|S_N|]}) &\geq \int_{|S_N|}^{|I_N|} \frac{1}{t_2} \int_{|S_N|}^{t_2} M_{1,H_N}(\chi_{[0,|S_N|]}) \, dt_1 \\ &\geq \int_{|S_N|}^{|I_N|} \frac{|S_N|}{t_2} \log \left(\frac{t_2}{|S_N|} \right) \, dt_2 = \frac{|S_N|}{2} \log^2 \frac{|I_N|}{|S_N|}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus in general,

$$\int_{I'_N} \{M_{j+1}(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N})\}^p u \, dx \geq \frac{\alpha_N^{(p'-1)p}\mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} |S_N|^p \left[\frac{B^j \log^j \left(\frac{|I_N|}{|S_N|} \right)}{j!} \right]^p.$$

Since $\|M_{j+1}f\|_{p,u} \leq A_{j+1}\|f\|_{p,v}$ we get with $f = v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\alpha_N^{(p'-1)p}}{|I_N|^p} \mu(I'_N) |S_N|^p \left[\frac{B^j \log^j \left(\frac{|I_N|}{|S_N|} \right)}{j!} \right]^p \\ & \leq A_{j+1}^p \int_{S_N} v^{1-p'} dx \leq 5^{p'-1} A_{j+1}^p \alpha_N^{p'-1} |S_N|, \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\frac{\alpha_N \mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} |S_N|^{p-1} \leq c \left[\frac{A_{j+1} \cdot j!}{B^j \log^j \left(\frac{|I_N|}{|S_N|} \right)} \right]^p.$$

Since $|S_N| \leq 5\alpha_N \nu(S_N) \leq 5\alpha_N \mu(I'_N)/2^N \leq c|I_N|/2^N \cdot 2^{N/p'} = c|I_N|/2^{N/p}$, we get $|I_N|/|S_N| \geq c2^{N/p}$ from which

$$\frac{\alpha_N \mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} \left[\frac{\alpha_N}{5} \frac{\mu(I'_N)}{2^N} \right]^{p-1} \leq c \left[\frac{A_{j+1} \cdot j!}{B^j \log^j(c2^{N/p})} \right]^p.$$

From this we finally obtain

$$\frac{\alpha_N \mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|} \leq c \frac{A_{j+1} \cdot j!}{B^j N^j} \cdot 2^{N/p'},$$

and the proof is complete.

We can replace in Theorem 5 the strong (p, p) for $M_j f$ by weak (p, p) and obtain the same result. We state this as

COROLLARY. *If $(u, v) \in A_p$, $1 < p$, $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$, and $\mu\{x: M_j f(x) > y\} \leq A_j \|f\|_{p,v}^p / y^p$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, then there are constants $c > 0$, $B > 0$ such that for every j, N ,*

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \frac{A_{j+1}}{B^j} \left(\frac{j!}{N^j} \right) 2^{N/p'}.$$

PROOF. Start out exactly as in Theorem 5, and note that for $x \in I'_N$,

$$\{M_{j+1}(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N})\}^p(x) \geq \left\{ \frac{1}{|I_N|^p} \int_{I_N} M_j(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N}) \right\}^p.$$

If we let y^p be the right side of this inequality, then

$$y^p \mu\{x: M_{j+1}(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N}) > y\} \geq \frac{\mu(I'_N)}{|I_N|^p} \left[\int_{I_N} M_j(v^{1-p'}\chi_{S_N}) \right]^p.$$

The rest of the proof is exactly as that of Theorem 5.

THEOREM 6. *Let $(u, v) \in A_p$ for some $p > 1$, and form $\Phi = \Phi_{u,v}$.*

(i) *If $\mu\{x: M_3 f(x) > y\} \leq A \|f\|_{p,v}^p / y^p$, then $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$ and hence $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,v}$*

(ii) If $\sup_{\|f\|_{p,u}=1} \|M_j f\|_{p,u} = \sigma(A^j)$, then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\Phi \in L((p - \varepsilon)', 1)$, and hence $\|Mf\|_{p-\varepsilon,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p-\varepsilon,v}$.

PROOF. To prove (i) we use the corollary and obtain $\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c 2^{N/p'}/N^2$ and so $\Sigma \Phi(2^{-N})/2^{N/p'} < \infty$. Hence $\Phi \in L(p', 1)$.

For (ii) we use Theorem 5 and get $\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c(A/N)^j j! 2^{N/p'}$, for some constant A . Since by Stirling's formula $j! \sim \sqrt{2\pi} e^{-j} j^{j+1/2}$, we get

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \left(\frac{Aj}{eN} \right)^j j^{1/2} \cdot 2^{N/p'}.$$

If we now let $\alpha = e/2A$ and $j = [\alpha N]$, then

$$\Phi(2^{-N}) \leq c \frac{N^{1/2}}{2^{\alpha N}} 2^{N/p'} \leq \frac{c}{N^2} 2^{N/p' - \alpha N/2} \leq \frac{c}{N^2} 2^{N/(p-\varepsilon)'},$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus $\Sigma \Phi(2^{-N})/2^{N/(p-\varepsilon)'} < \infty$ and so $\Phi \in L((p - \varepsilon)', 1)$.

REMARK. Theorem 6 provides us with a different proof of $u \in A_p$ implies $u \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$. From [7, 3] we know that $u \in A_p$ implies $\|Mf\|_{p,u} \leq A \|f\|_{p,u}$ without recourse to $A_{p-\varepsilon}$. But then $\|M_j f\|_{p,u} \leq A^j \|f\|_{p,u}$, and thus from (ii), $\|Mf\|_{p-\varepsilon,u} \leq B \|f\|_{p-\varepsilon,u}$ from which we get $u \in A_{p-\varepsilon}$.

REFERENCES

1. M. de Guzmán, *Real variable methods in Fourier analysis*, Math. Studies, no. 46, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1981.
2. R. A. Hunt *On $L(p, q)$ spaces*, Enseign. Math. (2) **12** (1966), 249–275.
3. R. A. Hunt, D. S. Kurtz and C. J. Neugebauer, *A note on the equivalence of A_p and Sawyer's condition for equal weights* (Proc. Zygmund Conf., Chicago Univ., 1981) (to appear).
4. W. B. Jurkat and J. L. Troutman, *Maximal inequalities related to generalized a.e. continuity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **252** (1979), 49–64.
5. B. Muckenhoupt, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165** (1972), 207–226.
6. B. Muckenhoupt and R. Wheeden, *Two weight function norm inequalities for the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function and the Hilbert transform*, Studia Math. **55** (1976), 279–294.
7. E. T. Sawyer, *A characterization of a two-weight norm inequality for maximal operators* (preprint).
8. E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1970.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA 47907 (Current address of C. J. Neugebauer)

Current address (M. A. Leckband): Department of Mathematics, Florida International University, Miami, Florida 33199